47. Love J, Flynn S (2004) Petition to use authority under Bah-Dole Act to promote access to Norvir. Letter to Thomas Thompson, Secretary of United States Department of Health and Human Services. Available: http://www.essentialinventions.org/legal/norvir/norvir-29jan04petition.pdf. Accessed 16 September 2008.
48. Washburn J (2005) University Inc.: The corporate corruption of higher education. New York: Basic Books. 352 p.
49. Greenberg DS (2007) Science for sale: The perils, rewards, and delusions of campus capitalism. Chicago: University of Chicago Press. 288 p.
50. Blumenthal D, Causino N, Campbell E, Louis KS (1996) Relationships between academic institutions and industry in the life sciences: An industry survey. New Engl J Med 334: 368-374.
51. Campbell EG, Louis KS, Blumenthal D (1998) Looking a gift horse in the mouth: Corporate gifts supporting life sciences research. JAMA 279: 995-999.
52. Campbell EG, Clarridge BR, Gokhale M, Birenbaum L, Hilgartner S, et al. (2002) Data withholding in academic genetics: Evidence from a national survey. JAMA 287: 473-480.
53. Campbell EG, Weissman JS, Causino N, Blumenthal D (2000) Data withholding in academic medicine: Characteristics of faculty denied access to research results and biomaterials. Res Pol 29: 303-312.
54. Mazzoleni R, Nelson RR (2007) Public research institutions and economic catch-up. Res Pol 36: 1512-1528.
55. Mowery DC, Sampat BN (2005) Universities in national innovation systems. In: Fagerberg J, Mowery DC, Nelson RR, editors. The Oxford handbook on innovation. New York: Oxford University Press. pp. 209-239.
56. Marshall E (2001) Bermuda Rules: Community spirit, with teeth. Science 291: 1192.
57. International AIDS Vaccine Initiative (2007) An interview with Dennis Burton. IAVI Report 11. Available: http://www.iavireport.org/Issues/Issue11-1/Burton.asp. Accessed 16 September 2008.
58. Atkinson RC, Beachy RN, Conway G, Cordova FA, Fox MA, et al. (2003) Public sector collaboration for agriculture IP management. Science 301: 174-175.
59. von Hippel E, von Krogh G, editors (2003) Open source software development. Res Pol 32: 1149-1291. doi:10.1016/S0048-7333(03)00054-4
60. Reichman J, Uhlir P (2003) A contractually reconstructed research commons for scientific data in a highly protectionist intellectual property environment. Law Contemp Probl 66: 315-462.
61. Maskus KE, Reichman JH (2003) The globalization of private knowledge goods and the privatization of global public goods. In: Maskus KE, Reichman JH, editors. International public goods and transfer of technology under a globalized intellectual property regime. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. pp. 3-45.
62. Dreyfuss R (2004) Protecting the public domain of science: Has the time for experimental use defense arrived? Arizona Law Rev 458: 457-472.
63. Bar-Shalom A, Cook-Deegan R (2002) Patents and innovation in cancer therapeutics: Lessons from CellPro. Milbank Q 80: 637-76.
64. McGarey BM, Levey AC (1999) Patents, products, and public health analysis of the CellPro march-in petition. Berkeley Technol Law J 14: 1095-1116.
65. United States Patent and Trademark Office (1980) March-in rights. US Code title 35, part II, chapter 18, §203. Available: http://uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/mpep/documents/appxl_35_U_S_C_203.htm#usu35s20.3. Accessed 16 September 2008.
66. Reichman JH, Hasenzahl C (2002) Non-voluntary licensing of patented inventions: Historical perspective, legal framework under TRIPS, and an overview of the practice in Canada and the USA. UNCTAD-ICTSD Project on IPRs and Sustainable Development Series. Available: http://www.ictsd.org/pubs/ictsd_series/iprs/CS_reichman_hasenzahl.pdf. Accessed 25 September 2008.
67. Abbott FM, Reichman JH (2007) The Doha Round's public health legacy: Strategies for the production and diffusion of patented medicines under the amended TRIPS provisions. J Int Econ Law 10: 921-987.
68. Outterson K (2006) Patent buy-outs for global disease innovations for low-and middle-income countries. Am J Law Med 32: 159-161.
69. Kapczynski A, Chaifetz S, Katz Z, Benkler Y (2005) Addressing global health inequities: An open licensing approach for university innovations. Berkeley Technol Law J 20: 1031.