UNIVERSITY SCIENCE, ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY, INC.
(Affiliate of The Maxwell-Pergamon Publishing Group)

all → SHIPPED NOV 2 2 1989

November 20, 1989

Robert E. Archibald, Esquire
Patent Counsel
The Johns Hopkins University
7-152 / CLL
Applied Physics Laboratory
Johns Hopkins Road
Laurel, Maryland 20707

Dear Bob:

As we agreed, I am enclosing copies of the USET and University of Oregon evaluation forms. As I noted, the USET form is a distilled version of the Oregon form. The USET form was intended to be used to rapidly dispose of invention disclosures while creating objective reasons for disposal that could be communicated to the inventor.

I could not locate the description of terms that accompanied the Oregon form enclosed. If you find the Oregon form of interest, I probably could locate the description of terms. However, as I noted at the meeting, I personally think that the Oregon form is too complex for use in the university environment. Of course, that was the reason for creating the USET substitute.

Sincerely,

Norman J. Latker/ko

Norman J. Latker
Vice President
Legal and Technology Affairs

NJL/ko

Attachments -- 2

4550 Montgomery Avenue • Suite 430 North • Bethesda, MD 20814-3304 • Tel (301) 961-8575 • Fax (301) 961-8570
Headquarters: 1465 Post Road East • P.O. Box 915 • Westport, CT 06881 • (203) 259-7997 • Telex 501985 • Fax (203) 255-1536

LATKER/ U-PATGRP.L

November 20, 1989

^F1^

Dear ^F2^:

As we agreed, I am enclosing copies of the USET and University of Oregon evaluation forms. As I noted, the USET form is a distilled version of the Oregon form. The USET form was intended to be used to rapidly dispose of invention disclosures while creating objective reasons for disposal that could be communicated to the inventor.

I could not locate the description of terms that accompanied the Oregon form enclosed. If you find the Oregon form of interest, I probably could locate the description of terms. However, as I noted at the meeting, I personally think that the Oregon form is too complex for use in the university environment. Of course, that was the reason for creating the USET substitute.

Sincerely,

Norman J. Latker
Vice President
Legal and Technology Affairs

NJL/ko

Attachments -- 2

LATKER / Q-PATGRP.LST

Robert E. Archibald, Esquire
Patent Counsel
The Johns Hopkins University
7-152 / CLL
Applied Physics Laboratory
Johns Hopkins Road
Laurel, Maryland 20707^R
Bob^R

Mary Louise Beall, Esquire
Patent Attorney
The Johns Hopkins University
Appied Physics Laboratory
Johns Hopkins Road
Laurel, Maryland 20707^R
Mary Louise^R

Mr. August S. Bjornson
Technology Development Consultant
Office of Research and Patents
University of Delaware
210 Hullihen Hall
Newark, Delaware 19716^R
Gus^R

Ms. June Blalock
Associate Director
Triangle Universities Licensing Consortium
Post Office Box 12001
Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27709^R
June^R

Mr. W. Mark Crowell
Director
Office of Technology Transfer
Duke University
07 Allen Bulding
Durham, North Carolina 27706^R
Mark^R

Ms. Karen Hersey
Director, Technology Administration
Office of the Vice Chancellor for Research
North Carolina State University
Research Administration
1 Holladay Hall
Box 7003
Raleigh, North Carolina 27695-7003^R
Karen^R

Dr. Francis J. Meyer
Assistant Dean for Technology Licensing
129 Medical School Administration
The Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine
720 Rutland Avenue
Baltimore, Maryland 21205^R
Fran^R

Mr. Richard B. Streeter
Director
Office of Research and Sponsored Programs
Lehigh University
526 Brodhead Avenue
Bethlehem, Pennsylvania 18042^R
Dick^R

Mr. Wayne E. Swann
Director
Office of Technology Liaison
University of Maryland
2114 Lee Building
College Park, Maryland 20742^R
Wayne^R

Dr. Edwin T. Yates
Patent Management Officer
The Johns Hopkins University
109 Garland Hall
34th and Charles Street
Baltimore, Maryland 21218^R
Ed^R
^E

sent 10-29-89